arXiv:1401.6777v2 [math-ph] 12 Apr 2014

Riemann-Hilbert problem for Camassa-Holm equation with step-like initial data

Alexander Minakov

Mathematical Division, B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of the National Academy of Science of Ukraine
47 Lenin Avenue, Kharkiv, 61103, Ukraine

Doppler Institute for Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics, Czech Technical University in Prague
Brehova 7, 11519 Prague, Czech Republic

Department of Physics, Faculty of Nuclear Science and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague
Pohranicni 1288/1, Děčín, Czech Republic

E-mail: minakov.ilt@gmail.com

Abstract

The Cauchy problem for the Camassa – Holm equation with step-like initial conditions is reformulated as a Riemann – Hilbert problem. Then the initial value problem solution is obtained then in a parametric form from the Riemann – Hilbert problem solution.

Keywords: Camassa-Holm equation, Riemann-Hilbert problem, step-like initial data
2010 MSC: 37K10, 37K15, 37K40, 35B40, 37K05

1. Introduction

An inverse scattering approach, based on an appropriate Riemann – Hilbert problem formulation, is developed for the step-like initial value problem for the Camassa – Holm (CH) equation on the line, whose form is

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 2\omega u_x + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,\ t > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x). \tag{1.2}$$

Here $u_0(x)$ is a step-like function, that is $u_0(x) \to c_l$ as $x \to -\infty$ and $u_0(x) \to c_r$ as $x \to +\infty$, where c_l, c_r are some real constants. We consider real-valued classical solutions $u(x,t)$ of the CH equation (1.1), which rapidly tend to their limits as $x \to \pm\infty$, that is for any $T \geq 0$

$$\max_{0\leq t\leq T} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+|x|)^{l+1} \times$$

$$\times \left(|m(x,t) - c_l H(-x) - c_r H(x)| + |m_x(x,t)| + |m_{xx}(x,t)|\right) \mathrm{d}x < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where $l \geq 0$ is some integer and $H(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$ is the Heaviside function.

The Camassa-Holm equation describes the unidirectional propagation of shallow water waves over a flat bottom (R. Camassa, D. Holm, J. Hyman, [8], [9]) as well as axially symmetric waves in a hyper-elastic rod (H. Dai [14]). Firstly it was found using the method of recursion operators as a bi-Hamiltonian equation with an infinite number of conserved functionals (A. Fokas, B. Fuchssteiner [15]).

For the case of vanishing initial data $c_l = c_r = 0$ the Riemann–Hilbert reformulation of CH equation and further asymptotic analysis was done by D.Shepelsky with coauthors [2], [4],[3], [7] by transforming Lax pair into suitable for asymptotical analysis form. Alternative approach based directly on the scattering theory for the underlying Sturm – Liouville operator was developed by G.Teschl and A.Kostenko with coauthors [6].

Here we derive approach based on [6], but the approach based on [2] can be developed as well. We suppose that there exists a classical solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1),(1.2), and that this solution satisfies the following condition for all values of time $t \geq 0$:

$$\frac{m(x,t)+\omega}{c_r+\omega} > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$m(x,t) := u(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t)$$

is the so-called "momentum" variable. Also we suppose that $\frac{c_l+\omega}{c_r+\omega} > 0$.

The Camassa – Holm equation (1.1), quantity $\dfrac{c_l+\omega}{c_r+\omega}$ and function $\dfrac{m(x,t)+\omega}{c_r+\omega}$ are invariant (see [17], p.4) under the transformation

$$(\omega,\ u(x,t)) \mapsto (\alpha\omega-\beta,\ v(x,t)=\alpha\, u(x-\beta t,\alpha t)+\beta),$$

so there are only two nonequivalent cases: $(c_r=0,\omega=1,c_l=:c>0)$ and $(c_r=0,\omega=1,c_l\in(-1,0))$. We restrict ourselves to the first case, moreover, we will not assume that $\omega=1$, so we have $\left(c_r=0,\dfrac{c}{\omega}>0\right)$.

Our goal is to develop the inverse scattering approach to the CH equation with step-like initial data, in view of its further application for studying the long-time asymptotics. In section 2 we recall basic facts about Camassa–Holm equation, introduce Jost solutions, prove lemma 2.5 which guarantee boundedness of the right transmission coefficient at the edge of spectrum at the point $\dfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\dfrac{c}{c+\omega}}$. In sections 3 and 4 we state two Riemann–Hilbert problems, for the right and left spectral parameter, respectively. To deal with RH problem which is continuous up to conjugation contour, in section 3 we assume $m(x,0)\le x$ for all x. In section 4 to this end we suppose that $l=1$ in the formula (1.3). In section 5 Camassa-Holm solution is reconstructed via RH problem solution. Two conservative laws for step-like solutions of CH equation are obtained ((2.9), (5.59)).

2. Lax pair for CH equation, Liouville transformation, Jost solutions and spectral funcions

In this section we derive a vector Riemann – Hilbert problem directly from the scattering theory for the Sturm – Liouville operator with a step-like potential [10], [1]. We begin by recalling some required results for the Camassa – Holm equation [11],[12], [2],[6].

The starting point for our considerations is the Lax representation: the CH equation is the compatibility condition of two linear equations

$$\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}\left(-\varphi''_{xx}+\frac{1}{4}\,\varphi\right)=\lambda\,\varphi\ , \tag{2.5a}$$

$$\varphi_t=-\left(\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}+u\right)\varphi'_x+\frac{u_x}{2}\varphi\ . \tag{2.5b}$$

Assuming that $\frac{m+\omega}{\omega} \geq 0$, equation (1.1) can be equivalently written as

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\right)_t = -\left(u\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\right)_x . \tag{2.6}$$

Introduce Liouville transform

$$y = y(x,t) \equiv x - \int\limits_x^{+\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m(\tilde{x},t)+\omega}{\omega}} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{x}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\psi(y) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\ \varphi(x). \tag{2.8}$$

There is a conservative law

$$\wp = x\left(\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}} - 1\right) - c\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\ t + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m(\xi,t)+\omega}{\omega}} - \sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\right) \mathrm{d}\xi + \\ + \int\limits_x^{+\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m(\xi,t)+\omega}{\omega}} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}\xi \tag{2.9}$$

which can be checked directly.
Due to the conservative law (2.9) y can be also expressed in the following way:

$$y = x - \int\limits_x^{+\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}r = \tag{2.10}$$

$$= -\wp + \sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}} \left[x - ct + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{c+\omega}} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}r\right],$$

so $y \to \pm\infty$ as $x \to \pm\infty$ and vise versa.

Spectral problem (2.5a) now reads as follows:

$$-\psi''_{yy}(y,t;k) + v(y,t)\psi(y,t;k) = k^2\psi(y,t;k), \tag{2.11}$$

or, equivalently,

$$-\psi''_{yy}(y,t;k)+\left(v(y,t)+\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}\right)\psi(y,t;k)=z^2\psi(y,t;k). \tag{2.12}$$

Here

$$\lambda =: k^2+\frac{1}{4} =: z^2+\frac{\omega}{4(c+\omega)}, \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$v(y,t)=-\frac{m}{4(m+\omega)}+\frac{\omega}{4}\frac{m_{xx}}{(m+\omega)^2}-\frac{5\omega}{16}\frac{m_x^2}{(m+\omega)^3}, \tag{2.14}$$

so $v(y,t)\to 0$ as $y\to+\infty$, and $v(y,t)\to -\dfrac{c}{4(c+\omega)}$ as $y\to-\infty$. From our assumption (1.3) we get that $v(y,t)+\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}\in L_1(\mathbb{R}_-,(1+|y|)\mathrm{d}y)$ and $v(y,t)\in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+,(1+|y|)\mathrm{d}y)$. We will consider z as a function of k,

$$z=z(k)=\sqrt{k^2+\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}},$$

where the branch of the cut across the segment $\left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}},-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right)$ is fixed by the condition $z\sim k$ as $k\to\infty$.

Spectral problems (2.11), (2.12) are well studied (see [13], [1], [5]), and all results known for them can be readily applied.

Lemma 2.1. *There exist two Jost solutions $\varphi_\pm(x,t;k)$ which solve the system of differential equations (2.5) and satisfy*

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}\sqrt[4]{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}z\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\left(x-\left(c+\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}\right)t\right)\right\}\varphi_-(x,t;k)=1, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kx+\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega kt}{2\lambda}}\varphi_+(x,t;k)=1. \tag{2.16}$$

Function $\exp\left\{\frac{-\mathrm{i}zt\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}}{2\lambda}\right\}\varphi_-(x,t;k)$ *is analytic for* $\Im z(k)>0$ *and continuous for* $\Im z(k)\geq 0$,
function $\exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}kt\omega}{2\lambda}\right\}\varphi_+(x,t;k)$ *is analytic for* $\Im k>0$ *and continuous for*

$\Im k \geq 0$. *As* $k \to \infty$, $\Im k \geq 0$, *we have*

$$\varphi_-(x,t;k) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \exp\left\{-\mathrm{i}z\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\left(x + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{c+\omega}} - 1\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{x} - \left(c + \frac{\omega}{2\lambda}\right)t\right)\right\} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}k}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{y}\left(v(\tilde{y},t) + \frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{y} + \mathrm{O}\left(k^{-2}\right)\right), \tag{2.17}$$

$$\varphi_+(x,t;k) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}k\left(x - \int\limits_{x}^{+\infty}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}} - 1\right)\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}\right) - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega k t}{2\lambda}\right\} \cdot \tag{2.18}$$

$$\cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}k}\int\limits_{y}^{+\infty} v(\tilde{y},t)\mathrm{d}\tilde{y} + \mathrm{O}\left(k^{-2}\right)\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover, the following relations are satisfied:

$\varphi_\pm(x,t;k) = \overline{\varphi_\pm(x,t;-\overline{k})}$,

$\varphi_-(x,t;k \pm 0) = \overline{\varphi_-(x,t;\overline{k} \mp 0)}, \quad k \in \left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}},\ -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right)$;

$\varphi_+(x,t;k) = \overline{\varphi_+(x,t;k)}, \quad k \in \left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}, 0\right)$;

$\varphi_-(x,t;k \pm 0) = \varphi_-(x,t;\overline{k} \pm 0), \quad k \in \left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}},\ -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right)$.

Proof. This is straightforward from the corresponding results for the spectral problem (2.11) (see [10]) by virtue of the Liouville transform (2.7), (2.8). Just notice

$$\begin{gathered}\varphi_-(x,t;k) = \sqrt[4]{\tfrac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\exp\left\{-\mathrm{i}\wp z + \tfrac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ zt}{2\lambda}\right\}\psi_-^K(y,t;k), \\ \varphi_+(x,t;k) = \sqrt[4]{\tfrac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\mathrm{i}\omega k t}{2\lambda}}\ \psi_+^K(y,t;k),\end{gathered} \tag{2.20}$$

where $\wp$ is a conserved quantity of CH equation (2.9) and $\psi^K_\pm(y,t;k)$ are the Jost solutions of (2.11) determined by their aymptotics

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{i}zy}\ \psi^K_-(y,t;k)\to 1 \ \text{ as } \ y\to-\infty, \quad \Im z\geq 0 \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}ky}\ \psi^K_+(y,t;k)\to 1 \ \text{ as } \ y\to+\infty, \quad \Im k\geq 0. \end{array} \tag{2.21}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Jost solutions $\varphi_\pm(x,t;k)$ determined by (2.15), (2.16) satisfy t-equation (2.5b).*

Proof. We follow the well-known idea from [16], chapter 4, paragraph 2. First the statement is to be proved for real k and then can be extended by analyticity to $\Im k\geq 0$, $\Im z\geq 0$, respectively. Let $\varphi(x,t;k)$ be one of the functions $\varphi_\pm(x,t;k)$. It is straightforward that if $\varphi(x,t;k)$ satisfy (1.1) and (2.5a), then

$$\left(\varphi_t+\left(\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}+u\right)\varphi_x-\frac{u_x}{2}\,\varphi\right)_{xx}=$$

$$=\left(\frac14-\frac{\lambda(m+\omega)}{\omega}\right)\left(\varphi_t+\left(\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}+u\right)\varphi_x-\frac{u_x}{2}\,\varphi\right),$$

i.e. $\varphi_t+\left(\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}+u\right)\varphi_x-\frac{u_x}{2}\,\varphi$ satisfies the same differential equation (2.5a) as φ. If we define by $\hat\varphi$ another solution of (2.5a) such that φ and $\hat\varphi$ are linear independent, then there exist independent on x functions $A(t;k)$, $B(t;k)$ such that

$$\varphi_t(x,t;k)+\left(\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}+u(x,t)\right)\varphi_x(x,t;k)-\frac{u_x(x,t)}{2}\,\varphi(x,t;k)=$$

$$=A(t;k)\varphi(x,t;k)+B(t;k)\hat\varphi(x,t;k).$$

For $\psi(y,t;k)=\sqrt[4]{\dfrac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\ \varphi(x,t;k)$, $\quad\hat\psi(y,t;k)=\sqrt[4]{\dfrac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\ \hat\varphi(x,t;k)$ the last equation reads as

$$\psi_t+\frac{\omega}{2\lambda}\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\psi_y-\left(\frac{um_x}{4(m+\omega)}+\frac{m_t}{4(m+\omega)}+\frac{u_x}{2}+\frac{\omega m_x}{8\lambda(m+\omega)}\right)\psi=$$

$$=A\psi+B\hat\psi. \tag{2.22}$$

a) Now let us take $\varphi(x,t;k) = \varphi_+(x,t;k)$ and $\hat{\varphi}(x,t;k) = \overline{\varphi_+(x,t;k)}$. Function $\psi(x,t;k)$ defined by (2.8) has the following asymptotic behavior as $x \to +\infty$ for $\Im k = 0$:

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}ky+\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\omega kt}{4k^2+1}}\ \psi_+(y,t;k) \to 1, \quad y \to +\infty, \quad \mathrm{Im}\ k = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}ky+\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\omega kt}{4k^2+1}}\ \psi'_{+,y}(y,t;k) \to \mathrm{i}k, \quad y \to +\infty, \quad \mathrm{Im}\ k = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}ky+\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\omega kt}{4k^2+1}}\ \psi'_{+,t}(y,t;k) \to \frac{-2\mathrm{i}k\omega}{4k^2+1}, \quad y \to +\infty, \quad \mathrm{Im}\ k = 0.$$

Substituting these asymptotics into (2.22) and taking the limit as $y \to +\infty$ we get 0 in the left-hand-side, which is possible only if $A(t;k) \equiv 0$, $B(t;k) \equiv 0$.

b) Now let us take $\varphi(x,t;k) = \varphi_-(x,t;k)$ and $\hat{\varphi}(x,t;k) = \overline{\varphi_-(x,t;k)}$. Function $\psi(y,t;k)$ defined (2.8) has the following asymptotic behavior as $x \to +\infty$ for $\Im k = 0$:

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(y+\wp)z(k)-\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ t\,z(k)}{4k^2+1}}\ \psi_-(y,t;k) \to 1, \quad y \to -\infty, \quad \mathrm{Im}\ z(k) = 0.$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(y+\wp)z(k)-\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ t\,z(k)}{4k^2+1}}\ \psi_{-,y}(y,t;k) \to -\mathrm{i}z(k),\ y \to -\infty,\ \mathrm{Im}\ z(k) = 0.$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(y+\wp)z(k)-\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ t\,z(k)}{4k^2+1}}\ \psi_{-,t}(y,t;k) \to \frac{2\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ z(k)}{4k^2+1}, \quad y \to -\infty,$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\ z(k) = 0.$$

Substituting these asymptotics into (2.22) and taking the limit as $y \to -\infty$ we get 0 in the left-hand-side, which is possible only if $A(t;k) \equiv 0$, $B(t;k) \equiv 0$.

□

Next, we have the scattering relations

$$\varphi_-(x,t;k) = a_+(k)\ \overline{\varphi_+(x,t;\overline{k})} + b_+(k)\ \varphi_+(x,t;k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{k=0\}, \tag{2.23a}$$

$$\varphi_+(x,t;k) = a_-(k)\ \overline{\varphi_-(x,t;\overline{k})} + b_-(k)\ \varphi_-(x,t;k), \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R}, \Im k \geq 0, \tag{2.23b}$$

where $a_\pm^{-1}(k)$, $\frac{b_\pm(k)}{a_\pm(k)}$ are the transmission and reflection coefficients respectively. Introduce also Wronskian

$$W(k) := W\left\{\varphi_-(x,t;k), \varphi_+(x,t;k)\right\} = \varphi_- \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_+}{\partial x} - \varphi_+ \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_-}{\partial x}. \qquad (2.24)$$

We need the following preliminary lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. *Spectral functions $a_\pm(k)$, $b_\pm(k)$ have extended domains of definition and are expressed in terms of $\varphi_\pm(x,t;k)$ as follows:*

1. $W\left\{\varphi_-(x,t;k),\ \varphi_+(x,t;k)\right\} = 2\mathrm{i}k\, a_+(k), \quad \Im z(k) \geq 0;$
2. $W\left\{\overline{\varphi_+(x,t;\overline{k})},\ \varphi_-(x,t;k)\right\} = 2\mathrm{i}k\, b_+(k), \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Im k \leq 0;$
3. $W\left\{\varphi_-(x,t;k),\ \varphi_+(x,t;k)\right\} = 2\mathrm{i}z(k)\, a_-(k), \quad \Im z(k) \geq 0;$
4. $W\left\{\varphi_+(x,t;k),\ \overline{\varphi_-(x,t;\overline{k})}\right\} = 2\mathrm{i}z(k)\, b_-(k), \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Im k \geq 0.$

They possess the following properties:

I. $\overline{a_+(-\overline{k})} = a_+(k), \quad \Im z(k) \geq 0;$
$\overline{b_+(-\overline{k})} = b_+(k), \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Im k \leq 0;$

II. $\overline{a_-(-\overline{k})} = a_-(k), \quad k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+^c}; \qquad \overline{b_-(-\overline{k})} = b_-(k), \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Im k \geq 0;$

III. $k\, a_+(k) = z(k) a_-(k), \quad \Im z(k) \geq 0;$
$k\, \overline{b_+(\overline{k})} = -z(k) b_-(k), \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Im k \geq 0;$

IV. $\overline{b_+(\overline{k} \pm 0)} = a_+(k \mp 0), \quad b_-(k \pm 0) = a_-(k \mp 0),$
$b_-(k \pm 0) = \overline{a_-(k \pm 0)}, \quad b_+(\overline{k} \pm 0) = a_+(k \pm 0), \quad k \in \left[0, \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right];$

V. $a_+(k) b_-(k) + a_-(k) \overline{b_+(\overline{k})} = 0, \quad z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Im k \geq 0,$

$a_+(k) \overline{b_-(\overline{k})} + a_-(k) b_+(k) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$

$\frac{k}{z(k)} \left(|a_+(k)|^2 - |b_+(k)|^2\right) = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\};$

$\frac{z(k)}{k} \left(|a_-(k)|^2 - |b_-(k)|^2\right) = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$

Lemma 2.4. *Function $W(k)$ (2.24) possesses the following properties:*

- *$W(k)$ is analytic in $\Im z(k) > 0$ and continuous in $\Im z(k) \geq 0$;*

- $W(k) \neq 0$ *for any* $k \in \left\{ k : z(k) \in \mathbb{R} \wedge \Im k \geq 0 \wedge k \neq \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\dfrac{c}{4(c+\omega)}} \right\}$.
- *zeros of* $W(k)$ *in the domain* $\Im z(k) > 0$ *are simple and lie in the interval* $\left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\dfrac{c}{4(c+\omega)}},\ \dfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove only the third property, as first two are immediate from corresponding results from [13]. The fact that the discrete spectrum may lie only in the interval $\left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}, +\mathrm{i}\,\infty \right)$, also follows from [13]. The fact that it indeed can lie only in the interval $\left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}},\ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right)$, can be proved as in [11, Claim 2, p. 962]. □

The following lemma establishes a condition on an initial data which provides $W\left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}} \right) \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{m(x,0) - c}{c+\omega} \leq 0.$$

Then $\quad W\left(\mathrm{i}\,\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}} \right) \neq 0.$

Proof. Define $k_0 = \mathrm{i}\,\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}$, $\lambda_0 = \lambda(k_0)$, and suppose that $W(k_0) = 0$. In that case the Jost solutions $\varphi_-(x,t;k_0)$ and $\varphi_+(x,t;k_0)$ are linearly dependent, that is there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\varphi_-(x,t;k_0) = C\varphi_+(x,t;k_0)$. From (2.15), (2.16) we conclude that function $\varphi(x,t) := \exp\left\{ -\mathrm{i}z\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\left(c + \frac{\omega}{2\lambda}\right) t \right\} \varphi_-(x,t;k_0)$ possesses the following properties (notice that $z(k_0) = 0$):

$$\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\varphi(x,t) \to 1, \quad \varphi_x(x,t) \to 0, \qquad \text{as } x \to -\infty,$$

$$\varphi(x,t) \to 0, \quad \varphi_x(x,t) \to 0, \qquad \text{as } x \to +\infty.$$

Equation (2.5a) can be rewritten as follows:

$$-\varphi_{xx}(x,t) + \frac{1}{4}\varphi(x,t) = \lambda_0\ \frac{m+\omega}{\omega}\varphi(x,t),$$

where $\lambda_0 = k_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{\omega}{4(c+\omega)}$. Multiply the last equation by $\overline{\varphi}$ and integrate from R to $+\infty$:

$$\int_R^{+\infty} -\varphi_{xx}(x,t)\overline{\varphi(x,t)}\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{4}\int_R^{+\infty}|\varphi(x,t)|^2\mathrm{d}x = \lambda_0 \int_R^{+\infty}\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}|\varphi(x,t)|^2\mathrm{d}x.$$

By integrating by parts and transferring of a summand to the right side of the equation, we get

$$-\varphi_x\overline{\varphi}\,\big|_R^{+\infty} + \int_R^{+\infty}|\varphi_x(x,t)|^2\mathrm{d}x = \int_R^{+\infty}\frac{m-c}{4(c+\omega)}|\varphi(x,t)|^2\mathrm{d}x.$$

Here we can take the limit as $R \to -\infty$, and so come to the equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|\varphi_x(x,t)|^2\mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{m(x,t)-c}{4(c+\omega)}|\varphi(x,t)|^2\mathrm{d}x.$$

As the left-hand side is strictly positive, and the right-hand side is non-positive for $t = 0$, we come to contradiction with the statement of the lemma. So, $W(k_0) \neq 0$. □

Lemma 2.6. *The transmission coefficients $a_\pm^{-1}$ are meromorphic for $\Im z(k) > 0$ with simple poles at $\mathrm{i}\kappa_1, ..., \mathrm{i}\kappa_N$, $\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}} < \kappa_N < ... < \kappa_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, and continuous for $k \in \{k : \Im z(k) \geq 0 \wedge \Im k \geq 0 \wedge z(k) \neq 0\}$. Moreover, if*

1. *we take $l = 0$ in (1.3) and require that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}: \quad c > m(x,0)$, then a_+^{-1} is continuous up to the point $z(k) = 0$;*
2. *we take $l = 1$ in (1.3), then a_-^{-1} is continuous up to the point $z(k) = 0$.*

Asymptotically as $k \to \infty$ we have

$$a_\pm^{-1}(k) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\wp k}\left(1 + \mathrm{O}(k^{-1})\right). \tag{2.25}$$

The residues of $a_+^{-1}(k)$ and $a_-^{-1}(k(z))$ are given by

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mathrm{i}\kappa_j}\,\frac{1}{a_+(k)} = \mathrm{i}\mu_j\gamma_{+,j}^2 \;=\; \mathrm{Res}_{z(\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)}\,\frac{1}{a_-(k(z))} = \mathrm{i}\mu_j^{-1}\gamma_{-,j}^2 \tag{2.26}$$

where

$$\gamma_{\pm,j}^{-2} := \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\varphi_{\pm}(x,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)\right)^2 \frac{m+\omega}{\omega}\mathrm{d}x > 0 \tag{2.27}$$

and $\varphi_+(x,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j) = \mu_j\varphi_-(x,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)$ *with quantities* $\gamma_{\pm,j}$, μ_j *independent on* t.

Note that if $a_{\pm}^K(k,t)$, $b_{\pm}^K(k,t)$, $\gamma_{\pm,j}^K(t)$, $\mu_j^K(t)$,

$$W^K(t;k) \equiv W\left\{\psi_-^K(y,t;k), \psi_+^K(y,t;k)\right\} \equiv \psi_-^K \cdot \frac{\partial\psi_+^K}{\partial y} - \psi_+^K \cdot \frac{\partial\psi_-^K}{\partial y}$$

are the corresponding quantities for (2.11), then

$$a_{\pm}(k) = a_{\pm}^K(k,t)\exp\left\{-\mathrm{i}\wp z + \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ zt}{2\lambda} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega kt}{2\lambda}\right\},$$

$$b_{\pm}(k) = b_{\pm}^K(k,t)\exp\left\{\pm\left(-\mathrm{i}\wp z + \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ zt}{2\lambda} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega kt}{2\lambda}\right)\right\},$$

$$\gamma_{+,j} = \gamma_{+,j}^K(t)\exp\left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega k_j t}{2\lambda_j}\right\},$$

$$\gamma_{-,j} = \gamma_{-,j}^K(t)\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\wp z_j - \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\,\omega}\ z_j t}{2\lambda_j}\right\},$$

$$\mu_j = \mu_j^K(t)\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\wp z_j - \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\,\omega}\ z_j t}{2\lambda_j} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega k_j t}{2\lambda_j}\right\}$$

with $\lambda_j := \lambda(k_j)$, $z_j := z(k_j)$,

$$W(k) = \exp\left\{-\mathrm{i}\wp z + \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ zt}{2\lambda} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega tk}{2\lambda}\right\} W^K(t;k)$$

and hence all results known for (2.11) are easily applied in our situation. Particularly, as

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ zt}{2\lambda} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega kt}{2\lambda} = \frac{\mathrm{i}tc}{2\left(z\sqrt{\frac{c}{\omega}+1}\ + k\right)},$$

then $a_{\pm}(k)$ are regular at the point $k = \dfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}$.

3. Vector Riemann – Hilbert problem (1).

Suppose that the condition of lemma 2.5 is satisfied. We define a vector Riemann – Hilbert problem as follows: sectionally meromorphic function $V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = (V_{\mathfrak{r},1}(x,t;k), V_{\mathfrak{r},2}(x,t;k))$ is defined by

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}} \left(\dfrac{1}{a_+(k)} \varphi_-(x,t;k)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} \ , \ \varphi_+(x,t;k)\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} \right), & \Im z(k) > 0, \\ \sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}} \left(\overline{\varphi_+(x,t;\overline{k})}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} \ , \ \dfrac{1}{\overline{a_+(\overline{k})}} \overline{\varphi_-(x,t;\overline{k})}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} \right), & \Im z(k) < 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

where $g(y,t;k) = ky - \frac{2\omega k t}{4k^2+1}$.

We are interested in the jump relations of $V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ on the contour $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \left[\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}} \ , -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}} \right]$. The orientation of the contour is chosen as follows: from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and from $+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}$ to $-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}$. Positive side of the contour is on the left, negative is on the right. By $M_{\pm}(x,t;k)$ we denote the limit from the positive/negative side of the contour.

The scattering relations (2.23) and lemma 2.6 provides the following properties of function $V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$:

1. The analyticity:
   $V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;.)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}$ with simple poles at $\pm\mathrm{i}\kappa_j$ and continuous up to the boundary;
2. The jump relations: for $k \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}$
   $V_{\mathfrak{r}}^-(x,t;k) = V_{\mathfrak{r}}^+(x,t;k) J_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$, where

$$J_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\overline{b_+(k)}}{\overline{a_+(k)}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} \\ -\frac{b_+(k)}{a_+(k)}\, \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} & \frac{z(k)}{k\,|a_+(k)|^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}; \tag{3.29}$$

$$J_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{z(k+0)}{k\, a_+(k-0) a_+(k+0)}\, \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \left(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}, 0 \right); \tag{3.30}$$

$$J_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \dfrac{z(k+0)}{k\,\overline{a_+(\overline{k}-0)}\,\overline{a_+(\overline{k}+0)}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;k)}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad k\in\left(0,-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right); \tag{3.31}$$

3. The pole relation: for $j=1,...,N$

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mathrm{i}\kappa_j}V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)=\lim_{k\to\mathrm{i}\kappa_j}V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ \mathrm{i}\gamma_{+,j}^2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)} & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.32a}$$

$$\mathrm{Res}_{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j}V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)=\lim_{k\to-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j}V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\begin{pmatrix}0 & -\mathrm{i}\gamma_{+,j}^2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}; \tag{3.32b}$$

4. Symmetry relations:

$$\overline{V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;\overline{k})}=V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;-k)=V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\overline{V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;-\overline{k})}=V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k);$$

5. asymptotics at the infinity:

$$V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\to\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\ \text{as}\ k\to\infty. \tag{3.34}$$

Regular Riemann–Hilbert problem. It is useful to transform our meromorphic RH problem defined in section 3 to holomorphic RH problem. In order to achieve this, we define function $\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ as follows:

$$\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)=\begin{cases}V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \dfrac{-\mathrm{i}\gamma_{+,j}^2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)}}{k-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j} & 1\end{pmatrix}, & |k-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j|<\varepsilon,\\ V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\begin{pmatrix}1 & \dfrac{\mathrm{i}\gamma_{+,j}^2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)}}{k+\mathrm{i}\kappa_j}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, & |k+\mathrm{i}\kappa_j|<\varepsilon,\\ V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k), & \text{elsewhere},\end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small number such that circles $|k-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j|$ do not intersect and lie in the domain $\Im z(k)>0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Vector-valued function $\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ solves the following RH problem: find a sectionally-holomorphic function $\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ which satisfies the following:*

1. *$\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;.)$ is holomorphic away of the contour $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and circuits $C_j := \{k:\ |k-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j|=\varepsilon\}$, $\overline{C}_j := \{k:\ |k+\mathrm{i}\kappa_j|=\varepsilon\}$. The orientation on C_j, $\overline{C}_j$ is counterclockwise, so the positive side is inside the circuits.*
2. *jump condition $\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-}(x,t;k) = \widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}^{+}(x,t;k)\widehat{J}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ is satisfied, where*

$$\widehat{J}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) \equiv J_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k), \quad k\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}},$$

$$\widehat{J}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \dfrac{\mathrm{i}\gamma_{+,j}^2 \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)}}{k-\mathrm{i}\kappa_j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad k\in C_j; \tag{3.36}$$

$$\widehat{J}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{-\mathrm{i}\gamma_{+,j}^2 \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}g(y,t;\mathrm{i}\kappa_j)}}{k+\mathrm{i}\kappa_j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad k\in \overline{C}_j; \tag{3.37}$$

3. *Symmetry relations:*

$$\overline{\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;\overline{k})} = \widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;-k) = \widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.38}$$

$$\overline{\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;-\overline{k})} = \widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k);$$

4. *$\widehat{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ as $k\to\infty$.*

4. Riemann–Hilbert problem (2)

Alternative Riemann-Hilbert problem can be stated for spectral parameter z in assumption that $l=1$ in (1.3). Let us define sectionally-meromorphic function

$$V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) =$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{\dfrac{m+\omega}{\omega}} \left(\dfrac{1}{a_-(k(z))}\, \varphi_+(x,t;k(z)) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} \ , \ \varphi_-(x,t;k(z)) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} \right), \\ \qquad\qquad \Im z > 0, \\ \sqrt[4]{\dfrac{m+\omega}{\omega}} \left(\overline{\varphi_-(x,t;\overline{k(z)})} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} \ , \ \dfrac{1}{\overline{a_-(\overline{k(z)})}}\, \overline{\varphi_+(x,t;\overline{k(z)})} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} \right), \\ \qquad\qquad \Im z < 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.39}$$

where $\widetilde{g}(y,t;z) = z(y+\wp) - \frac{2\sqrt{(c+\omega)\omega}\ zt}{4z^2+\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}$.

Scattering relations (2.23) and lemma 2.6 implies that $V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z)$ satisfies the following conjugation problem:

1. $V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;.)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and continuous up to the boundary;
2. $V_{\mathfrak{l}}^-(x,t;z) = V_{\mathfrak{l}}^+(x,t;z) J_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z)$, where

$$J_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{\overline{b_-(k(z))}}{\overline{a_-(k(z))}}\, \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} \\ -\dfrac{b_-(k(z))}{a_-(k(z))}\, \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} & \dfrac{k(z)}{z\,|a_-(k(z))|^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$z \in \left(-\infty, -\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right) \cup \left(\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}, +\infty\right); \tag{4.40}$$

$$J_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{\overline{b_-(k(z+\mathrm{i}0))}}{\overline{a_-(k(z+\mathrm{i}0))}}\, \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} \\ -\dfrac{b_-(k(z+\mathrm{i}0))}{a_-(k(z+\mathrm{i}0))}\, \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z)} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$z \in \left(-\sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}, \sqrt{\frac{c}{4(c+\omega)}}\right); \tag{4.41}$$

3. The pole relation: for $j = 1, ..., N$

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mathrm{i}z_j} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;k) = \lim_{z\to z_j} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{i}\gamma_{-,j}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z_j)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.42a}$$

$$\mathrm{Res}_{-\mathrm{i}z_j} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) = \lim_{z\to -z_j} V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i}\gamma_{-,j}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\widetilde{g}(y,t;z_j)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{4.42b}$$

4. Symmetry relations:

$$\overline{V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;\overline{z})} = V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;-z) = V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.43}$$

$$\overline{V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;-\overline{z})} = V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z);$$

5. $V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z) \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ as $z \to \infty$.

5. Reconstruction of the Camassa–Holm solution from RH problem

The following lemma reads exactly as its analogue in vanishing case ([6, lemma 3.5]), but proof should be modified.

Lemma 5.1. *Functions $V_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ and $V_{\mathfrak{l}}(x,t;z)$ defined in (3.28)and (4.39), respectively, satisfy the following relations:*

$$\frac{V_{\mathfrak{r},1}(x,t;\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2})}{V_{\mathfrak{r},2}(x,t;\frac{i}{2})} = \mathrm{e}^{x-y}, \tag{5.44}$$

$$V_{\mathfrak{r},1}(x,t;k)V_{\mathfrak{r},2}(x,t;k) = \sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}} \left(1 + \frac{2\mathrm{i}}{\omega} u(x,t) \left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)^2\right), \tag{5.45}$$

$$k \to \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2},$$

and

$$\frac{V_{\mathfrak{l},1}(x,t;\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}})}{V_{\mathfrak{l},2}(x,t;\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}})} = \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}(y+\wp)-x+ct} = \exp\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{c+\omega}} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}r\right\},$$

(5.46)

$$V_{\mathrm{I},1}(x,t;z)V_{\mathrm{I},2}(x,t;z) =$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{m+\omega}{c+\omega}}\left(1+\frac{2\mathrm{i}\,(u(x,t)-c)}{\sqrt{\omega(c+\omega)}}\left(z-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}\right)+O\left(z-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}\right)^2\right), \tag{5.47}$$

$$z\to\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}.$$

Proof The Jost solutions $\varphi_\pm(x,t;k)$ can be represented as follows:

$$\varphi_+(x,t;k)=\mu_+(x,t;k)\exp\{\mathrm{i}kx-\frac{2\mathrm{i}\omega kt}{4k^2+1}\},$$

$$\varphi_-(x,t;k)=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}\mu_-(x,t;k)\exp\left\{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\,xz+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}\,tz\left(c+\frac{2\omega}{4k^2+1}\right)\right\},$$

where $\mu_\pm(x,t;k)$ are the solutions of the integral equations

$$\mu_+(x,t;k)=1+\frac{k^2+\frac14}{2\mathrm{i}k\omega}\int\limits_x^{+\infty}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}k(x-r)}\right)\mu_+(r,t;k)m(r,t)\mathrm{d}r, \tag{5.48}$$

$$\mu_-(x,t;k)=1+\frac{k^2+\frac14}{2\mathrm{i}z\sqrt{\omega(c+\omega)}}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{x}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}z(x-r)\sqrt{\frac{c+\omega}{\omega}}}\right)\mu_-(r,t;k)\,(m(r,t)-c)\,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{5.49}$$

Here the values of $\mu_\pm$ at the point $k=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}$ can be determined due to the observation that (2.5a) becomes explicitly solvable at $\lambda=0$.

Existence and uniqueness of solutions of (5.49),(5.48) is established, for example, in [16]. Moreover, μ_+ is analytic for $\Im k>0$, μ_- is analytic for $\Im z(k)>0$.

Since $k^2+\frac14=(k-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2})(k+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2})$, we get

$$\mu_+(x,t;k)=1+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega}F_+(x,t)\left(k-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)+O\left(k-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)^2,\quad k\to\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}, \tag{5.50}$$

$$\mu_-(x,t;k) = 1 - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} F_-(x,t)\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)^2, \quad k \to \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}, \quad (5.51)$$

where

$$F_+(x,t) = \int_x^{+\infty} \left(\mathrm{e}^{x-r} - 1\right) m(r,t)\mathrm{d}r, \quad (5.52)$$

$$F_-(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-x+r} - 1\right)(c - m(r,t))\,\mathrm{d}r. \quad (5.53)$$

Differentiating with respect to x, we get

$$\mu'_+(x,t;k) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} F'_+(x,t)\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)^2, \quad k \to \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}, \quad (5.54)$$

$$\mu'_-(x,t;k) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} F'_-(x,t)\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)^2, \quad k \to \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}, \quad (5.55)$$

with

$$F'_+(x,t) = \int_x^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{x-r} m(r,t)\mathrm{d}r, \quad (5.56)$$

$$F'_-(x,t) = -\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathrm{e}^{-x+r}(c - m(r,t))\,\mathrm{d}r. \quad (5.57)$$

Next, straightforward calculations show that

$$a_+(k) = \frac{W\{\varphi_-(x,t;k), \varphi_+(x,t;k)\}}{2\mathrm{i}k} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}}\,(1+$$

$$+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega}\left[F_+ - F_- - F'_+ - F'_- - c(x+1) + ct\left(\frac{3c}{2} + 2\omega\right)\right]\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\right)^2\Bigg) =$$

$$= \sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{c+\omega}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} H_0[u] \left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right)^2 \right\}, \tag{5.58}$$

where

$$H_0[u] = \int_{-\infty}^{x} (c - m(r,t))\,\mathrm{d}r - \int_{x}^{+\infty} m(r,t)\mathrm{d}r - c(x+1) + \frac{c(3c+4\omega)t}{2} \tag{5.59}$$

is a conserved quantity of the CH equation.

Substituting (5.51), (5.50) and (5.58) into (3.28), and taking into account

$$u(x,t) = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} m(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-|x-r|} m(r,t)\mathrm{d}r,$$

we come to

$$V_{\mathfrak{r},1}(x,t;k) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\, \mathrm{e}^{(x-y)/2} \left(1 + \left[\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\omega} H_0[u] - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} F_-(x,t) + \right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left. -\mathrm{i}x\frac{c+\omega}{\omega} + \mathrm{i}y + \frac{\mathrm{i}ct(3c+4\omega)}{2\omega} \right] \left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right)^2 \right),$$

$$V_{\mathfrak{r},2}(x,t;k) =$$

$$= \sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}} \mathrm{e}^{(y-x)/2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega} F_+(x,t) + \mathrm{i}(x-y) \right) \left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right) + O\left(k - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \right)^2 \right),$$

and thus to (5.44), (5.45). Formulas (5.46), (5.47) can be proved in analogous manner. ■

6. Uniqueness.

6.1. Uniqueness of RH problems by the right scattering data.

In this section we prove the uniqueness of the RH problems 1.-5. of the section 3 under assumtion that the classical solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) exists for all values of time. Then we can construct

the matrix-valued function $M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mathfrak{r},11}(x,t;k) & M_{\mathfrak{r},12}(x,t;k) \\ M_{\mathfrak{r},21}(x,t;k) & M_{\mathfrak{r},22}(x,t;k) \end{pmatrix}$ by the formulas

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2a_+(k)} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\varphi_- - \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\varphi'_{-,x} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\varphi_+ - \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\varphi'_{+,x} \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}g} \\ \frac{1}{2a_+(k)} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\varphi_- + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\varphi'_{-,x} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\varphi_+ + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\varphi'_{+,x} \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}g} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Im z(k) > 0, \quad (6.60)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\overline{\varphi_+} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\overline{\varphi'_{+,x}} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g} & \frac{1}{2a_+(\overline{k})} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\overline{\varphi_-} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\overline{\varphi'_{-,x}} \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}g} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\overline{\varphi_+} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\overline{\varphi'_{+,x}} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g} & \frac{1}{2a_+(\overline{k})} \left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{m+\omega}{\omega}}\overline{\varphi_-} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}k}\sqrt[4]{\frac{\omega}{m+\omega}}\overline{\varphi'_{-,x}} \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}g} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Im z(k) < 0, \quad (6.61)$$

Here we denote $g = g(y,t;k) = ky - \frac{2\omega kt}{4k^2+1}$, $\varphi_\pm = \varphi_\pm(x,t;k)$, $\overline{\varphi_\pm} = \overline{\varphi_\pm(x,t;\overline{k})}$. Matrix-valued function $M_{\mathfrak{r}}$ has the following properties:

1. Matrix-valued function $M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ is meromorphic in the domain $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}$.

2. Matrix-valued function $M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ satisfies the same jump relations as the vector-valued function (3.28).

3. $M_{\mathfrak{r}}$ satisfies the same pole relations as the vector-valued function (3.28).

Indeed, the derivatives of the Jost solutions $\varphi'_\pm$ satisfy the same scattering relations (2.23) as the Jost solutions. The function k does not have any jump along the contour $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}$, hence any linear combination of Jost solutions and its derivatives satisfies the same jump conditions as the vector-valued function (3.28), and in particular, this holds for $M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$.

Asymptotics for the derivatives of the Jost solutions is given by formal differentiation of formulas (2.17), (2.18), so the matrix-valued function $M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ tends to the identity matrix as $k \to \infty$.

$M_{\mathfrak{r}}$ has the following behavior at the point $k = 0$:

1.a $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{O}(1) & \frac{-\alpha_\pm}{\mathrm{i}k} + \mathrm{O}(1) \\ \mathrm{O}(1) & \frac{\alpha_\pm}{\mathrm{i}k} + \mathrm{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \to 0, \Im k > 0, \pm\Re k \geq 0;$
$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\alpha_\pm}{\mathrm{i}k} + \mathrm{O}(1) & \mathrm{O}(1) \\ \frac{\alpha_\pm}{\mathrm{i}k} + \mathrm{O}(1) & \mathrm{O}(1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \to 0, \Im k < 0, \pm\Re k \geq 0;$

and satisfies the following symmetry relations and asymptotics at the infinity:

4.(a) Symmetry relations:

$$\overline{M_\mathfrak{r}(x,t;\overline{k})} = M_\mathfrak{r}(x,t;-k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_\mathfrak{r}(x,t;k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.62)$$

$$\overline{M_\mathfrak{r}(x,t;-\overline{k})} = M_\mathfrak{r}(x,t;k);$$

5.(a)

$$M_\mathfrak{r}(x,t;k) \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } k \to \infty. \quad (6.63)$$

It is easy to show that the solution of the RH problem 1.-5. is unique. (Let us notice, that this RH problem does not have Schwartz symmetry: $J_\mathfrak{r}(k)J^*_\mathfrak{r}(\overline{k}) \neq I$. This makes possible the uniqueness of a non-regular solution.)First of all, without loss of generality we can assume absence of poles at $\pm \mathrm{i}\kappa_j$, because we can transform the RH problem to a regular one as in (3.35). Further, as $\det J_\mathfrak{r} = 1$, $detM_\mathfrak{r}$ is a meromorphic function with a possible simple pole at the point $k = 0$. As $k \to \infty$, $\det M_\mathfrak{r} \to 1$. Hence, $\det M_\mathfrak{r} = 1 + \frac{b}{k}$. Due to symmetry condition 4(a) we get that $\det M_\mathfrak{r}(-k) = \det M_\mathfrak{r}(k)$, and hence $b = 0$, so $\det M_\mathfrak{r} = 1$. If the RH problem 1.-5. has another solution $\widetilde{M_\mathfrak{r}}$, then $M_\mathfrak{r}\widetilde{M_\mathfrak{r}}^{-1}$ can have only simple pole at the origin: it follows from the structure 1.a of poles at the origin. So, $M_\mathfrak{r}\widetilde{M_\mathfrak{r}}^{-1}$ is a meromorphic matrix-valued function with a possible simple pole at the point $k = 0$, hence $M_\mathfrak{r}\widetilde{M_\mathfrak{r}}^{-1} = I + \frac{A}{\mathrm{i}k}$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Due to the symmetry properties 4(a), we get that the matrix A has the following structure: $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, A_{11} = -A_{22}, A_{21} = -A_{12}$. The determinant of $I + \frac{A}{\mathrm{i}k}$ equals 1, this gives $A_{11}^2 + A_{21}^2 = 0$ and so it proves the uniqueness of the matrix RH problem 1.-5.

With the help of this matrix function $M_\mathfrak{r}$ we can prove the uniqueness of the vector RH problem 1.-5. from the section 3. Indeed, as $V_\mathfrak{r}$ and $M_\mathfrak{r}$ satisfy the same jump conditions, their quotient $V_\mathfrak{r}M_\mathfrak{r}^{-1}$ does not have any jump along the contour $\Sigma_\mathfrak{r}$, and therefore $V_\mathfrak{r}M_\mathfrak{r}^{-1}$ is a meromorphic vector-valued function with a possible simple pole at the point $k = 0$ and asymptotics at the infinity equals $(1, 1)$. Due to the symmetry relation 4. of the section 3 and due to the symmetry relation 4.a of the present section, we get that

$V_{\mathfrak{r}}M_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1}$ satisfies the symmetry relations 4. of the section 3. So,

$$V_{\mathfrak{r}}M_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1} = \left(1 + \frac{A}{\mathrm{i}k}, 1 - \frac{A}{\mathrm{i}k}\right). \tag{6.64}$$

According to the property 1.a, the function $M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ has the following behavior as $k \to 0$, $\Im k > 0$, $\Re k > 0$:

$$M_{\mathfrak{r}}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \mathrm{O}(k) & \frac{-\alpha}{\mathrm{i}k} + x + \mathrm{O}(k) \\ \gamma + \mathrm{O}(k) & \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{i}k} + y + \mathrm{O}(k) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.65}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. From the fact that $\det M_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k) = 1$, we get

$$\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = 0. \tag{6.66}$$

Let us multiply (6.64) from the right by (6.65). We have

$$V_{\mathfrak{r}} = \left(V_{\mathfrak{r}}M_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1}\right) M_{\mathfrak{r}}(k) = \left(1 + \frac{A}{\mathrm{i}k}, 1 - \frac{A}{\mathrm{i}k}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \mathrm{O}(k) & \frac{-\alpha}{\mathrm{i}k} + x + \mathrm{O}(k) \\ \gamma + \mathrm{O}(k) & \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{i}k} + y + \mathrm{O}(k) \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \left(\tfrac{A(\beta-\gamma)}{\mathrm{i}k} + \mathrm{O}(1), \tfrac{2A\alpha}{k^2} + \tfrac{A(x-y)}{\mathrm{i}k} + \mathrm{O}(1)\right),$$

and, taking into account boundedness of $V_{\mathfrak{r}}$ as $k \to 0$, we have

$$A(\beta - \gamma) = 0, \quad A\alpha = 0, \quad A(x - y) = 0. \tag{6.67}$$

Consider the system of equations (6.66), (6.67). Suppose first that $A \neq 0$. Then

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \beta = \gamma, \quad x = y,$$

and formula (6.65) reads as

$$M_{\mathfrak{r}}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \mathrm{O}(k) & x + \mathrm{O}(k) \\ \beta + \mathrm{O}(k) & x + \mathrm{O}(k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{hence } \det M_{\mathfrak{r}}(k) = \mathrm{O}(k) \neq 1.$$

We get a contradiction. It proves that the matrix $A = 0$. From this and from (6.64) we get

$$V_{\mathfrak{r}}M_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1} = (1, 1).$$

If $\widetilde{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}(x,t;k)$ is another solution of the vector RH problem from the section 3, then

$$V_{\mathfrak{r}}M_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1} = (1,1) = \widetilde{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}M_{\mathfrak{r}}^{-1}$$

and, taking into account $\det M_{\mathfrak{r}} = 1$, we have $V_{\mathfrak{r}} = \widetilde{V}_{\mathfrak{r}}$, which is what had to be proved.

6.2. Uniqueness of general vector RH problem.

As to the RH problem stated by the left scattering data, we can repeat the procedure of the previous subsection, and construct the matrix-valued function $M_{\mathfrak{l}}$. The distinction is that pole behavior at $z = 0$ involves all 4 elements of the corresponding matrix RH problem solution. It provides non-uniqueness of the non-regular matrix RH problem solution. Indeed, the RH problem now is stated on the real line, and satisfies Schwartz symmetry principle; this means existence of a regular solution to the RH problem. However, we still have the uniqueness of the vector RH problem. This is a general fact, and we prove it in a general form.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth contour, with possible self-intersections. The following RH problem has a unique solution: to find a vector-valued function $V(x,t;z)$ that satisfies the following properties*

1. *$V(y,t;.)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ and continuous up to the boundary;*
2. *$V^-(x,t;z) = V^+(x,t;z)J(y,t;z), z \in \Sigma$, where*

$$J(y,t;z) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}zy\sigma_3} J_0(t;z) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}zy\sigma_3} \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}zy\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} J_{11}(t;z) & J_{12}(t;z) \\ J_{21}(t;z) & J_{22}(t;z) \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}zy\sigma_3}.$$

   $J_0(t;z)$ is a continuous function on the contour Σ and $\det J_0(t;z) \equiv 1$;
3. *Symmetry relations:*

$$\overline{V(y,t;\overline{z})} = V(y,t;-z) = V(y,t;z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.68}$$

$$\overline{V(y,t;-\overline{z})} = V(y,t;z);$$

4. *$V(y,t;z) \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ as $z \to \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose $V(y,t;z) = (V_1(y,t;z), V_2(y,t;z))$ satisfies the RH problem stated above. The jump relation 2

$$V_1^- = V_1^+ J_{11} + V_2^+ \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}yz} J_{21}, \qquad V_2^- = V_1^+ \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}yz} J_{12} + V_2^+ J_{22},$$

can be rewritten as analogues of scattering relations between Jost solutions:

$$V_1^- \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}yz} = V_1^+ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}yz} J_{11} + V_2^+ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}yz} J_{21}, \qquad V_2^- \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}yz} = V_1^+ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}yz} J_{12} + V_2^+ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}yz} J_{22}, \tag{6.69}$$

Differentiating (6.69) by y, we get

$$(V_1^- \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}yz})_y = (V_1^+ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}yz})_y J_{11} + (V_2^+ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}yz})_y J_{21}, \tag{6.70}$$

$$(V_2^- \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}yz})_y = (V_1^+ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}yz})_y J_{12} + (V_2^+ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}yz})_y J_{22}. \tag{6.71}$$

Dividing the last two equations by $\mathrm{i}z$, we get that functions $\frac{1}{\pm \mathrm{i}z}(V_{1,2}\mathrm{e}^{\pm iyz})_y$ satisfy the same jump relations and asymptotics for large z as the functions $V_{1,2}\mathrm{e}^{\pm iyz}$. Taking then half-sums and half-difference between these functions, using (6.70) and (6.69), and then by multiplying them by $\mathrm{e}^{\pm \mathrm{i}yz}$, we organize these functions as a matrix

$$M(y,t;z) = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 - \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z}(V_1)_y & -\frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z}(V_2)_y \\ \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z}(V_1)_y & V_2 - \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z}(V_1)_y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.72}$$

Function $M(y,t;z)$ satisfies the same jump conditions as $V(y,t;z)$, symmetry conditions (6.62), and asymptotics (6.63). As $\det J = 1$, the determinant of M does not have any jump across Σ. Furthermore, it is a meromorphic function with at most a simple pole at $z = 0$: indeed, it equals

$$\det M = \frac{V_1 V_{2,\,y} - V_2 V_{1,\,y}}{2\mathrm{i}z} + V_1 V_2. \tag{6.73}$$

Due to symmetry properties (6.62) it $\det M$ be represented as

$$\det M = 1 + \frac{b}{\mathrm{i}z}, \quad b(y,t) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But due to properties (6.62) we conclude that $\det M(z) = \det M(-z)$, so $b \equiv 0$ and $\det M = 1$. Due to (6.73) we get

$$\frac{V_1 V_{2,\,y} - V_2 V_{1,\,y}}{2\mathrm{i}z} + V_1 V_2 = 1. \tag{6.74}$$

Suppose $\widetilde{V} = (\widetilde{V}_1, \widetilde{V}_2)$ is another solutions of the vector RH problem stated in Lemma 6.1. Then by dividing it from the right on M, we see that the quotient does not have any jump across Σ, so it meromorphic with at most a simple pole at $z = 0$,

$$\widetilde{V} M^{-1} = \left(1 - \frac{b}{\mathrm{i}z}, 1 + \frac{b}{\mathrm{i}z}\right), \quad b = b(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next, multiply the above relationship by M from the right; we get

$$(\widetilde{V}_1, \widetilde{V}_2) = \left(1 - \frac{b}{\mathrm{i}z}, 1 + \frac{b}{\mathrm{i}z}\right) \begin{pmatrix} V_1 - \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z} V_{1,y} & -\frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z} V_{2,y} \\ \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z} V_{1,y} & V_2 - \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}z} V_{1,y} \end{pmatrix} = \tag{6.75}$$

$$= \left(\frac{bV_{1,y}}{-z^2} + \frac{bV_1}{-\mathrm{i}z} + V_1, \frac{bV_{2,y}}{-z^2} + \frac{bV_2}{\mathrm{i}z} + V_2\right).$$

We recall that $\widetilde{V}$ is a bounded function at $z = 0$. Suppose first that $b \neq 0$. Then the vector

$$\left(\frac{V_{1,y}}{-z^2} + \frac{V_1}{-\mathrm{i}z}, \frac{V_{2,y}}{-z^2} + \frac{V_2}{\mathrm{i}z}\right)$$

is also bounded at $z = 0$. Multiply the first element by V_2, the second by $-V_1$, and add them. By using (6.74), we get

$$-V_2 \left(\frac{V_{1,y}}{-z^2} + \frac{V_1}{-\mathrm{i}z}\right) + V_1 \left(\frac{V_{2,y}}{-z^2} + \frac{V_2}{\mathrm{i}z}\right) = \frac{-2}{\mathrm{i}z},$$

we come to contradiction, that is why $b \equiv 0$. So, $\widetilde{V} = (1, 1)M = V$. That is what had to be proved.

□

Acknowledgment. The research has been supported by the project "Support of inter-sectoral mobility of and quality enhancement of research teams at Czech Technical University in Prague", CZ.1.07/2.3.00/30.0034, sponsored by European Social Fund in the Czech Republic.

The author would like to express his gratitude to I.Egorova for useful advices concerning the direction of investigations, to D.Shepelsky for introduction in the subject of the Camassa – Holm equation.

References

[1] Bazargan, Dzh. The direct and inverse scattering problems on the whole axis for the one-dimensional Schrodinger equation with step-like potential. (Russian) Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Prirodozn. Tekh. Nauki 2008, no. 4, 7–11.

[2] Boutet de Monvel, Anne; Shepelsky, Dmitry. Riemann-Hilbert problem in the inverse scattering for the Camassa-Holm equation on the line. Probability, geometry and integrable systems, 53–75, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 55, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[3] Boutet de Monvel, Anne; Shepelsky, Dmitry. The Camassa-Holm equation on the half-line: a Riemann-Hilbert approach. J. Geom. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 2, 285–323.

[4] Boutet de Monvel, Anne; Shepelsky, Dmitry. Long-time asymptotics of the Camassa-Holm equation on the line. Integrable systems and random matrices, 99–116, Contemp. Math., 458, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[5] Boutet de Monvel, Anne; Egorova, Iryna; Teschl, Gerald. Inverse scattering theory for one-dimensional Schrodinger operators with steplike finite-gap potentials. J. Anal. Math. 106 (2008), 271–316.

[6] Boutet de Monvel, Anne; Kostenko, Aleksey; Shepelsky, Dmitry; Teschl, Gerald Long-time asymptotics for the Camassa-Holm equation. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 41 (2009), no. 4, 1559–1588.

[7] Boutet de Monvel, Anne; Its, Alexander; Shepelsky, Dmitry. Painleve-type asymptotics for the Camassa-Holm equation. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42 (2010), no. 4, 1854–1873.

[8] Camassa, R.; Holm, D. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993), 1661-1664.

[9] Camassa, R.; Holm, D.; Hyman, J. A new integrable shallow water equation. Adv. Appl. Mech. 31 (1994), 1-33.

[10] Cohen, Amy; Kappeler, Thomas. Scattering and inverse scattering for steplike potentials in the Schrodinger equation. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), no. 1, 127–180.

[11] Constantin, Adrian On the scattering problem for the Camassa-Holm equation. R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 457 (2001), no. 2008, 953–970.

[12] Constantin, Adrian; Gerdjikov, Vladimir S.; Ivanov, Rossen I. Inverse scattering transform for the Camassa-Holm equation. Inverse Problems 22 (2006), no. 6, 2197–2207.

[13] Cohen, Amy; Kappeler, Thomas. Scattering and inverse scattering for steplike potentials in the Schrodinger equation. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), no. 1, 127–180.

[14] Dai, H.-H. Model equations for nonlinear dispersive waves in a compressible Mooney – Rivlin rod. Acta Mechanica 127 (1998), 293-308.

[15] Fokas, A.; Fuchssteiner, B. Symplectic structures, their Backlund transformation and hereditary symmetries. Physica D 4 (1981), 47-66.

[16] Marchenko, Vladimir A. Sturm-Liouville operators and applications. Translated from the Russian by A. Iacob. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 22. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1986. xii+367 pp.

[17] Grunert K., Holden H., Raynaud X. Global conservative solutions of the Camassa – Holm equation for initial data with nonvanishing asymptotics. arXiv:1106.4125v1 [math.AP] 21.06.2011